

1 Brian A. Knutsen
2 Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC
3 1300 S.E. Stark Street, Suite 202
4 Portland, Oregon 97214
5 Phone: (503) 841-6515

HONORABLE MARY K. DIMKE

6 Paul A. Kampmeier
7 Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC
8 811 First Avenue, Suite 468
9 Seattle, Washington 98104

10 Simone Anter
11 Columbia Riverkeeper
12 P.O. Box 950
13 Hood River, Oregon 97031
14 Phone: (541) 399-5312

Attorneys for Plaintiff Columbia Riverkeeper

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

17
18 COLUMBIA RIVERKEEPER,)

19 Plaintiff,)

20 v.)

21 GRANT COUNTY PUBLIC)

22 UTILITY DISTRICT, et al.,)

23 Defendants.)
24
25
26
27
28
29

No. 2:19-cv-00096-MKD

[PROPOSED] CONSENT
DECREE

[PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE - 1

KAMPMEIER & KNUTSEN, PLLC
1300 S.E. Stark Street, Suite 202
Portland, Oregon 97214
(503) 841-6515

I. STIPULATIONS.

1
2
3 Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington, owns and
4 operates the Wanapum Dam and the Priest Rapids Dam (collectively, the
5 “Dams”). The Wanapum Dam is located on the Columbia River within Grant and
6 Kittitas Counties, Washington approximately four and a half miles south of the
7 City of Vantage, Washington. The Priest Rapids Dam is located on the Columbia
8 River within Grant and Yakima Counties, Washington approximately six and a
9 half miles south of the City of Mattawa, Washington.
10
11

12
13 Plaintiff Columbia Riverkeeper (“Riverkeeper”) issued a notice of intent to
14 sue letter dated September 19, 2018 and filed a complaint on March 26, 2019
15 under section 505 of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. § 1365, alleging
16 that Defendants Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington and its
17 Commissioners (collectively, “PUD”) are violating section 301(a) of the CWA, 33
18 U.S.C. § 1311(a), by discharging pollutants from the Dams without National
19 Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”) permits. Riverkeeper’s
20 complaint seeks declaratory and injunctive relief, the imposition of civil penalties,
21 and an award of litigation expenses, including attorney and expert fees.
22
23
24

25
26 The PUD filed an answer to the complaint on May 3, 2019 denying liability
27 for violations of the CWA.
28

1 On May 2, 2019, the PUD submitted applications to the Washington State
2 Department of Ecology (“Ecology”) for NPDES permits for the Dams.

3 Riverkeeper and the PUD (collectively, the “Parties”) entered a Stipulation
4 and Proposed Order on June 18, 2019. ECF No. 13-1. The Stipulation and
5 Proposed Order sought to stay this litigation to allow time for Ecology to take
6 action on the PUD’s applications for NPDES permits. *Id.* at 6. The Stipulation and
7 Proposed Order also imposed various requirements on the PUD intended to
8 facilitate the NPDES permitting process and to reduce water quality impacts
9 associated with the alleged discharges of pollutants from the Dams. *Id.* at 3–6. The
10 Court approved the Stipulation and Proposed Order on June 24, 2019, entering the
11 Order Granting Parties’ Motion to Stay and Stipulation. ECF No. 14.

12 Ecology issued its final NPDES permit for the Wanapum Dam on October
13 11, 2021, with an effective date of December 1, 2021.

14 Ecology issued its final NPDES permit for the Priest Rapids Dam on July
15 15, 2022, with an effective date of September 1, 2022.

16 Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree, the Parties stipulate that the
17 Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and the subject matter of this action under
18 section 505(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a).

19 The Parties agree that settlement of this matter is in the best interest of the

1 Parties and the public and that entry of this Consent Decree without additional
2 litigation is the most appropriate means of resolving this action.

3
4 The Parties stipulate to the entry of this Consent Decree without trial,
5 adjudication, or admission of any issues of fact or law regarding the claims and
6 allegations set forth in Riverkeeper’s notice of intent to sue and complaint.
7

8 The signatories for the Parties certify that they are authorized by the party
9 they represent to enter into these Stipulations and Consent Decree.
10

11 COLUMBIA RIVERKEEPER

PUBLIC UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 2
OF GRANT COUNTY

12
13 By: 
14 Lauren Goldberg, Executive Director

By: 
Rich Wallen, General Manager / CEO

15
16 KAMPMEIER & KNUTSEN, PLLC

CASCADIA LAW GROUP, PLLC.

17
18 By: 
19 Brian A. Knutsen, WSBA No. 38806

By: 
Matthew A. Love, WSBA No. 25281

20
21 **II. ORDER AND DECREE.**

22 THIS MATTER came before the Court upon the foregoing Stipulations of
23 the Parties and joint motion for entry of Consent Decree. Having considered the
24 Stipulations and the terms and conditions set forth below, the Court hereby
25 ORDERS, ADJUDGES, and DECREES as follows:
26
27

28
29 [PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE - 4

KAMPMEIER & KNUTSEN, PLLC
1300 S.E. Stark Street, Suite 202
Portland, Oregon 97214
(503) 841-6515

1 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and the subject matter of
2 this action pursuant to section 505(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a).

3 2. This Consent Decree shall inure to the benefit of, and be binding
4 upon, the Parties and their successors, assigns, officials, agents, representatives,
5 officers, directors, and employees. Changes in the organizational form or status of
6 a party shall have no effect on the binding nature of this Consent Decree or its
7 applicability.
8

9 3. This Consent Decree is a full and complete settlement and release of
10 all claims alleged in Riverkeeper's notice of intent to sue letter and complaint, and
11 all other claims known or unknown existing as of the date of entry of this Consent
12 Decree related to discharges of pollutants made without an NPDES permit from
13 the Dams that could be asserted under the CWA against the PUD. These claims are
14 released and dismissed with prejudice.
15

16 4. This Consent Decree is a settlement of disputed facts and law. It is not
17 an admission or adjudication regarding any allegations by Riverkeeper in this case
18 or of any fact or conclusion of law related to those allegations.
19

20 5. In lieu of a penalty, the PUD shall make a payment in the amount of
21 \$195,000.00 to the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation for one
22 or more projects benefiting water quality in the Columbia River Basin, which
23

1 includes the Columbia River and its tributaries, as described in Attachment A.

2 Such payment shall be made by, within seven (7) days of the Court's entry of this
3 Consent Decree, placing in the U.S. Mail, first-class postage prepaid, or delivering
4 to a reputable courier service, delivery charges prepaid, a check payable to
5 Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation, and shall bear the notation
6 "Columbia Riverkeeper v. Grant County Public Utility District, Clean Water Act
7 Settlement," with a copy provided to Riverkeeper at that same time.
8
9

10
11 6. The PUD shall pay Riverkeeper's attorney fees and costs in the
12 amount of \$47,000.00 in full and complete satisfaction of any claims Riverkeeper
13 may have under the Clean Water Act for attorney fees and litigation costs and
14 expenses. Such payment shall be made by, within seven (7) days of the Court's
15 entry of this Consent Decree, placing in the U.S. Mail, first-class postage prepaid,
16 or delivering to a reputable courier service, delivery charges prepaid, a check
17 payable to "Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC" and addressed for delivery to
18 Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC, 1300 S.E. Stark Street, Suite 202, Portland, Oregon
19 97214, unless the Parties agree otherwise in writing to electronic payment.
20
21

22 Riverkeeper's above-signed counsel hereby certifies that the actual costs and fees
23 incurred in this matter equal or exceed \$47,000.00.
24
25
26
27
28

1 7. This Court retains jurisdiction over this matter and, while this Consent
2 Decree remains in force, this case may be reopened without filing fee so that the
3 Parties may apply to the Court for any further order or relief that may be necessary
4 regarding compliance with this Consent Decree or to resolve any dispute regarding
5 the terms or conditions of this Consent Decree until it is terminated. A
6 precondition to any application to the Court under this paragraph is that the Parties
7 must first seek to resolve the dispute themselves as follows: 1) the party identifying
8 or wishing to raise an issue or dispute must provide the other party a written notice
9 detailing the nature of the issue or dispute; and 2) within thirty (30) days of receipt
10 of such notice, the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the issue or dispute. If
11 no resolution is reached at that meeting or within thirty (30) days of the written
12 notice, whichever occurs first, either party may file a motion with this Court to
13 resolve the dispute. In any action to enforce this Consent Decree, the Court shall
14 apply the same standard applied by courts in awarding fees and costs under section
15 505(d) of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1365(d).
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 8. This agreement shall take effect upon entry of the Consent Decree by
23 the Court.
24
25
26
27
28

1 9. The provisions of this Consent Decree shall terminate upon
2 compliance with the requirements in paragraphs II.5 and II.6 of this Consent
3 Decree.
4

5 10. All notices and other communications regarding this Consent Decree
6 shall be in writing and shall be fully given by mailing via first-class mail, postage
7 pre-paid; by delivering the same by hand; or by sending the same via e-mail to the
8 following addresses, or to such other addresses as the Parties may designate by
9 written notice, provided that communications that are mailed shall not be deemed
10 to have been given until three business days after mailing:
11
12

13 For Riverkeeper:

14
15 Columbia Riverkeeper
16 c/o Simone Anter
17 P.O. Box 950
18 Hood River, Oregon 97031
19 simone@columbiariverkeeper.org

20 Kampmeier & Knutsen, PLLC
21 c/o Brian A. Knutsen
22 1300 S.E. Stark Street, Suite 202
23 Portland, Oregon 97214
24 brian@kampmeierknutsen.com

13 For the PUD:

14
15 Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County
16 Mitch Delabarre, General Counsel / Chief
17 Legal Officer
18 P.O. Box 878
19 Ephrata, WA 98823
20 Mdelaba@gcpud.org

21 Cascadia Law Group, PLLC
22 c/o Matthew A. Love
23 606 Columbia Street N.W., Suite 212
24 Olympia, Washington 98501
25 mlove@cascadialaw.com

26 11. This Consent Decree constitutes the entire agreement between the
27 Parties. There are no other or further agreements, either written or verbal. This
28

1 agreement may not be modified or amended except by a writing signed by both
2 Parties and entered by the Court.

3
4 12. Each of the Parties acknowledges that it has sought and obtained the
5 advice of its own independent legal counsel before executing this Consent Decree.
6 The Parties acknowledge that they have had the opportunity to freely negotiate the
7 terms of this Consent Decree.
8

9
10 13. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this proposed
11 Consent Decree in the form presented, this Consent Decree is voidable at the
12 discretion of either party. The Parties agree to continue negotiations in good faith
13 in an attempt to cure any objection raised by the Court to entry of this Consent
14 Decree.
15

16
17 14. The Parties recognize that, pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(3), no
18 consent judgment can be entered in a Clean Water Act suit in which the United
19 States is not a party prior to 45 days following the receipt of a copy of the proposed
20 consent judgment by the U.S. Attorney General and the Administrator of the U.S.
21 Environmental Protection Agency (“U.S. EPA”). Therefore, upon the filing of this
22 proposed Consent Decree by the Parties, Riverkeeper will serve copies of it upon
23 the Administrator of the U.S. EPA and the U.S. Attorney General.
24
25
26
27
28

1 DATED this _____ day of _____, 2022.
2
3
4
5

6 _____
7 HONORABLE MARY K. DIMKE
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 [PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE - 10

KAMPMEIER & KNUTSEN, PLLC
1300 S.E. Stark Street, Suite 202
Portland, Oregon 97214
(503) 841-6515

ATTACHMENT A



Confederated Tribes and Bands
of the Yakama Nation

Established by the
Treaty of June 9, 1855

November 28, 2022

Peter McVeigh
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resources Division
Law and Policy Section
P.O. Box 7415
Washington, D.C. 20044-4390

**Re: Columbia Riverkeeper v. Grant County Public Utility District No. 2, E.D.
Wash. No. 2:19-cv-00096-MKD**

Dear Mr. McVeigh:

This letter is to notify you that the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation (Nation) has received a copy of the proposed Consent Decree between Columbia Riverkeeper and Grant County Public Utility District No. 2 (Grant PUD) in the above-captioned matter. The proposed Consent Decree requires Grant PUD to make a payment of \$195,000 to the Nation for one or more projects benefiting water quality in the Columbia River Basin, which includes the Columbia River and its tributaries. The Nation makes the following commitments with respect to this payment:

- The Nation will use the funds received from Grant PUD exclusively for one or more projects to improve or protect the water quality of the Columbia River Basin in Washington.
- The Nation will not use any of the funds for political lobbying activities.
- After the Nation completes the project(s) undertaken with the funds received from Grant PUD, the Nation will send a report to the Department of Justice, the Court, Columbia Riverkeeper, and Grant PUD describing how the funds were utilized.

I hope this letter provides the information you require. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Philip Rigdon at 509-865-5121 extension 4655 or email to phil_rigdon@yakama.com.

Sincerely,



Jeremy Takala
YAKAMA NATION TRIBAL COUNCIL